arXiv:1210.1854v1 [math.RT] 5 Oct 2012

FI-modules over Noetherian rings

Thomas Church, Jordan S. Ellenberg, Benson Farb, and Rohit Nagpal *

October 9, 2012

Abstract

FI-modules were introduced by the first three authors in [CEF] to encode sequences of representations of symmetric groups. Over a field of characteristic 0, finite generation of an FI-module implies representation stability for the corresponding sequence of S_n-representations. In this paper we prove the Noetherian property for FI-modules over arbitrary Noetherian rings: any sub-FI-module of a finitely generated FI-module is finitely generated. This lets us extend many of the results of [CEF] to representations in positive characteristic, and even to integral coefficients. We focus on three major applications of the main theorem: on the integral and mod p cohomology of configuration spaces; on diagonal coinvariant algebras in positive characteristic; and an integral version of Putman's central stability for homology of congruence subgroups.

1 Introduction

In [CEF], the first three authors investigated the theory of *FI-modules*, which encode sequences of representations of symmetric groups connected by families of linear maps. The category of FI-modules defined in [CEF] admits a natural notion of *finite generation*, which is central to the story told there. In particular, finitely generated FI-modules over a field of characteristic 0 correspond to sequences of representations whose dimensions and characters behave "eventually polynomially." This turns out to be essentially equivalent to the phenomenon that was called "representation stability" in the earlier work of the first and third authors [CF].

In much of [CEF] it was critical that we consider FI-modules over a field of characteristic 0. Most notably, this was used in the proof there that the category of FI-modules over a field of characteristic 0 is *Noetherian*; that is, any sub-FI-module of a finitely generated FI-module is again finitely generated. This property is essential for many of the applications in [CEF]. The

---

*The first, second, and third authors gratefully acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation. The second author's work was partially supported by a Romnes Faculty Fellowship.

main purpose of the present paper is to prove the Noetherian property for FI-modules over *arbitrary Noetherian rings R.*

This allows us to generalize many of the applications in [CEF] beyond the case of fields of characteristic 0, and to produce new applications as well. We discuss three such results in this paper:

- We prove new theorems about the integral and mod p cohomology of configuration spaces on manifolds, generalizing results in §4 of [CEF];
- We characterize the dimensions of diagonal coinvariant algebras over fields of positive characteristic, generalizing results in §3.2 of [CEF];
- We prove a complement to a recent theorem of Putman [Pu] on the homology groups of congruence subgroups. Putman shows that the mod p homology of these subgroups satisfies a version of representation stability, with an explicit stable range, for all primes p above a certain explicit threshold. We prove a similar theorem, which does not provide an explicit range, but which holds for coefficients of any characteristic, even when the coefficients are not a field.

1.1 The Noetherian property

Let FI be the category whose objects are finite sets and whose morphisms are injections. The category FI is equivalent to its full subcategory whose objects are the sets $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ as n ranges over natural numbers. For simplicity we denote $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ by $[n]$ hereafter.

Let R be a commutative ring.[1] An *FI-module over R* is a covariant functor V from FI to the category of R-modules. Given a finite set S we denote the R-module $V(S)$ by V_S, and in particular we denote $V([n])$ by V_n. Since $\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{FI}}([n]) = S_n$, any FI-module V determines for each $n \geq 0$ an S_n-representation V_n (that is, an $R[S_n]$-module). Moreover, the FI-module V determines linear maps $V_m \to V_n$ corresponding to the injections $[m] \hookrightarrow [n]$. The FI-module structure imposes no maps from V_m to V_n when $n < m$. The usual notions from the theory of modules, such as submodule and quotient module, carry over to FI-modules. In general, we prepend "FI" to the name of a category to denote the category of functors from FI to that category; so an *FI-simplicial complex* is a functor from FI to simplicial complexes, and so forth.

The applications in this paper are all based on the notion of finite generation of an FI-module. An FI-module V is *finitely generated* if there is a finite set S of elements in $\coprod_i V_i$ so that no proper sub-FI-module of V contains S. This condition was put to much use in [CEF]; in particular, over a field of characteristic 0, finite generation of V implies representation stability in the sense of [CF] for the sequence $\{V_n\}$ of S_n-representations. The main technical result of this paper is the following theorem, which we prove in §2 below.

---

[1] The restriction to commutative rings is probably not essential; see for instance the discussion of FI[G]-modules by Jimenez Rolland in [JR].

Theorem 1.1 (Noetherian property of FI-modules). *If V is a finitely generated FI-module over a Noetherian ring R, and W is a sub-FI-module of V, then W is finitely generated.*

FI-modules were originally introduced in order to study various sequences $\{V_n\}$ of S_n-representations arising from algebra, combinatorics, and geometry, about which little explicit information is known. For instance, one often lacks even a formula for the dimension of V_n. A key consequence of finite generation of V is that the dimension of V_n is eventually polynomial.

Theorem 1.2 (Polynomial dimension). *Let k be any field, and let V be a finitely-generated FI-module over k. Then there exists an integer-valued polynomial $P(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ so that for all sufficiently large n,*

$$\dim_k V_n = P(n).$$

When k is a field of characteristic 0, Theorem 1.2 was proved in [CEF, Theorem 2.67]. This theorem is quite useful in practice because the FI-modules arising in nature often appear as sub-FI-modules of FI-modules which are readily seen to be finitely generated. In many cases we know nothing more about them except that they admit such an embedding. Nonetheless, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 suffice to prove that their dimensions are eventually polynomial in n.

Remark 1.3. When $\operatorname{char} k = 0$, we proved in [CEF, Theorem 2.67] that not only the dimensions but also the characters of V_n are eventually polynomial. In the situation of Theorem 1.2, it is reasonable to expect that when k is a field of positive characteristic the Brauer characters of V_n similarly have polynomial behavior. We do not pursue this question here.

Remark 1.4. We will show in Corollary 2.11 that if an FI-module V is finitely generated, then the sequence $\{V_n\}$ of S_n-representations is *centrally stable* in the sense of Putman [Pu, §1]. Conversely, if V is an FI-module for which $\{V_n\}$ is a centrally stable sequence of finite-dimensional S_n-representations, then V is finitely generated.

Remark 1.5. The analogue of Theorem 1.1 with FI replaced by a *finite* category was proved by Lück [L, Lemma 16.10b]. However, his methods cannot be extended to infinite categories such as FI.

1.2 Applications

Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 can be applied to a variety of examples. In this paper we concentrate on three important examples from algebra, topology and combinatorics.

Congruence subgroups. Let R be a commutative ring and let $\mathrm{GL}_n(R)$ be the group of automorphisms of R^n. For any ideal $I \subset R$, the *congruence subgroup* $\Gamma_n(I)$ is the kernel of the natural reduction map $\mathrm{GL}_n(R) \to \mathrm{GL}_n(R/I)$.

The following theorem complements, and was inspired by, a recent theorem of Putman [Pu, Theorem A].

Theorem 1.6 (Betti numbers of congruence subgroups). *Let K be a number field, let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be its ring of integers, and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$. Fix $m \geq 0$ and any field k. Then there is a polynomial $P(T) = P_{\mathfrak{p},m,k}(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ so that for all sufficiently large n,*

$$\dim_k H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p}); k) = P(n).$$

Under the hypothesis that the characteristic of the coefficient field k is either 0 or at least $9 \cdot 2^{m-1} - 3$, Putman proved that Theorem 1.6 holds for all $n \geq 9 \cdot 2^m - 7$ [Pu, Theorems B and D]. One of the key tools used by Putman is the representation theory of the symmetric groups, especially the parallels between representations over fields of characteristic 0 and over fields of positive characteristic. It is the use of this theory that requires the exclusion of fields k of small characteristic. Theorem 1.1 allows us to extend Putman's results to coefficients in an abitrary Noetherian ring; in particular, this confirms the conjecture in [Pu] that the restriction on characteristic is unnecessary. But there is a cost — the argument presented here does not provide an explicit stable range, as Putman's does, so that neither theorem implies the other. We hope to remove this shortcoming in the forthcoming paper [CE].

A presentation for $H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p}))$. In fact, our argument does more than simply control the growth of dimension with n, it provides an *presentation* for $H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p}))$. (The same is true for Putman's argument; indeed, explicit presentations of the sort described below are at the heart of the *central stability* that [Pu] is based on.) We now explain this in more detail.

Fix a basis $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ for R^n, and let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \colon R^n \to R$ be the dual basis of $\mathrm{Hom}(R^n, R)$ defined by $\lambda_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij}$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the subgroup of $\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p})$ fixing both e_i and λ_i is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p})$; denote this subgroup by $\Gamma^{(i)}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p})$. It is known that these subgroups generate $\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p})$, at least for large n, and we would like to express the homology of $\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p})$ in terms of the homology of the conjugates of the subgroups $\Gamma^{(i)}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ and their intersections. A consequence of our proof of Theorem 1.6 is a very simple description of this form, giving the following presentation of $H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p}); \mathbb{Z})$. This follows Putman [Pu, Theorem B], who proved that such a presentation exists for homology with coefficients in a field whose characteristic is not too small.

Let $\Gamma^{(i,j)}_{n-2}(\mathfrak{p})$ denote the intersection $\Gamma^{(i)}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p}) \cap \Gamma^{(j)}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p})$. As the notation suggests, each such intersection is isomorphic to $\Gamma_{n-2}(\mathfrak{p})$. Taking the difference of the two inclusions gives a map $H_m(\Gamma^{(i,j)}_{n-2}(\mathfrak{p})) \to H_m(\Gamma^{(i)}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p})) \oplus H_m(\Gamma^{(j)}_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p}))$, as is familiar from the Mayer–Vietoris sequence, whose image vanishes in $H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p}))$.

Theorem 1.7 (**A presentation for $H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p});\mathbb{Z})$**)**.** *Let K be a number field, $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers, and $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$. Fix $m \geq 0$. Then for all sufficiently large n,*

$$H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p});\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \frac{\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} H_m(\Gamma_{n-1}^{(i)}(\mathfrak{p});\mathbb{Z})}{\operatorname{im} \bigoplus_{i<j} H_m(\Gamma_{n-2}^{(i,j)}(\mathfrak{p});\mathbb{Z})}.$$

Theorem 1.7 gives a presentation for $H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p});\mathbb{Z})$, where the generators are copies of $H_m(\Gamma_{n-1}(\mathfrak{p});\mathbb{Z})$ and the relations come from $H_m(\Gamma_{n-2}(\mathfrak{p});\mathbb{Z})$. We can continue this process to show that all relations between the relations come from $H_m(\Gamma_{n-3}(\mathfrak{p});\mathbb{Z})$, and so on; see Proposition 2.10.

Configuration spaces. Let M be any connected, oriented manifold. Let $\mathrm{Conf}_n(M)$ denote the configuration space of ordered n-tuples of distinct points in M:

$$\mathrm{Conf}_n(M) \coloneqq \left\{(p_1,\ldots,p_n) \in M^n \,\middle|\, p_i \neq p_j\right\}$$

Understanding the cohomology of configuration spaces, and in particular its behavior as $n \to \infty$, is a fundamental problem in topology.

Theorem 1.8 (**Cohomology of configuration spaces**)**.** *Let k be an arbitrary field and fix $m \geq 0$. Let M be an orientable manifold with $H^*(M;k)$ finitely generated (e.g. M compact). Then there exists a polynomial $P(T) = P_{M,m,k}(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ so that for all sufficiently large n,*

$$\dim_k H^m(\mathrm{Conf}_n(M);k) = P(n).$$

When M is an open manifold, Theorem 1.8 follows from [CEF, Theorem 1.10]; when k has characteristic 0, it follows from [CEF, Theorem 1.9]. See [JR, Theorem 1.1] for the case $\dim M = 2$.

Diagonal coinvariant algebras. Let k be an arbitrary field, let r and n be positive integers, and let I_n be the ideal in

$$k[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}(n)] \coloneqq k[x_1^{(1)},\ldots,x_n^{(1)},\ldots,x_1^{(r)},\ldots,x_n^{(r)}]$$

generated by polynomials with vanishing constant term that are invariant under the diagonal action of S_n. The *r-diagonal coinvariant algebra* is the k-algebra

$$R^{(r)}(n) \coloneqq k[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}(n)]/I_n.$$

The polynomial algebra $k[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}(n)]$ is naturally endowed with an r-fold multi-grading, where a monomial has multi-grading $J = (j_1,\ldots,j_r)$ if its total degree in the variables $x_1^{(i)},\ldots,x_n^{(i)}$ is j_i. This multi-grading is S_n-invariant, and thus descends to an S_n-invariant multi-grading

$$R^{(r)}(n) = \bigoplus_J R_J^{(r)}(n)$$

on the r-diagonal coinvariant algebra $R^{(r)}(n)$.

When k has characteristic 0, the S_n-representations $R_J^{(r)}(n)$ have been intensively studied. However, when $r > 1$ very little is *explicitly* known about the representations $R_J^{(r)}(n)$, or even their dimensions, except for small J or n; see, e.g. [CEF, §1] for a brief summary. In [CEF, Theorem 1.12] it was proved that when $\operatorname{char} k = 0$, the dimension $\dim_k(R_J^{(r)}(n))$ is a polynomial in n for n sufficiently large. We are not aware of any literature on diagonal coinvariant algebras over fields of positive characteristic. In this paper, we show that the polynomial behavior of dimension extends to this context.

Theorem 1.9. *Let k be any field. For each fixed $r \geq 1$ and fixed r-multigrading J, there is an integer-valued polynomial $P(T) = P_{r,J,k}(T) \in \mathbb{Q}[T]$ so that for all sufficiently large n,*

$$\dim_k R_J^{(r)}(n) = P(n).$$

We do not know any of these polynomials explicitly, except in trivial cases, and it would be very interesting to compute them. It would be intriguing to understand their connection to problems in combinatorics, which has been so fruitful in the characteristic 0 case.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to Wolfgang Lück for helpful conversations regarding this paper and its relation to [L, §16].

2 Noetherian and polynomial properties of FI-modules

The following "shift functors" on FI-modules will be fundamental for the proof of Theorem 1.1. Unlike some other parts of the FI-module formalism, these functors would *not* exist if FI were replaced with an arbitrary diagram category; they depend on the symmetric monoidal structure that comes from taking the disjoint union of finite sets.

Positive shifts. Fix a commutative ring R, and let FI-Mod denote the category of FI-modules over R. We recall from [CEF, Definition 2.30] the definition of the "positive shift" functors $S_{+a}\colon$ FI-Mod $\to$ FI-Mod. Let $\Xi_{[-a]}\colon$ FI $\to$ FI denote the functor defined on objects by $S \mapsto S \coprod \{-1, \ldots, -a\}$, and where, for each morphism f, the map $\Xi_{[-a]}(f)$ is simply the extension of f by the identity on $\{-1, \ldots, -a\}$. (The choice of the set $\{-1, \ldots, -a\}$ is irrelevant.)

Definition 2.1 (Positive shift functor S_{+a}). Given an FI-module V and an integer $a \geq 1$, the FI-module $S_{+a}V$ is defined to be the composition

$$S_{+a}V := V \circ \Xi_{[-a]}.$$

The natural inclusion ι_S of S into $\Xi_{[-a]}S = S \coprod \{-1, \ldots, -a\}$ induces a natural transformation of functors from $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{FI}}$ to $\Xi_{[-a]}$. We thus have a natural map

$$\textstyle\coprod_{[-a]}\colon V \to S_{+a}V \qquad (1)$$

which takes V_S to $(S_{+a}V)_S = V_{S\coprod\{-1,\dots,-a\}}$ via the map $V(\iota_S)$.

Definition 2.2. If V and W are FI-modules, we write $V \sim W$ if $S_{+a}V \cong S_{+a}W$ for some $a \geq 0$. This notation is most often used in this paper in the form $V \sim 0$, which simply means that V_n vanishes for all sufficiently large n. If X and Y are FI-simplicial complexes, we write $X \sim Y$ if for each k, the k-skeleta satisfy $S_{+a}X^{(k)} \cong S_{+a}Y^{(k)}$ for some a depending on k.

We proved in [CEF, Proposition 2.31] that if V is generated in degree $\leq d$ then $S_{+a}V$ is also generated in degree $\leq d$. It is easy to see that a converse of sorts holds: if $S_{+a}V$ is generated in degree $\leq d$, then V is generated in degree $\leq d+a$, since every FI-map $(S_{+a}V)_d \to (S_{+a}V)_n$ is just a relabeling of an FI-map $V_{d+a} \to V_{n+a}$.

These shift functors take on a particularly simple form when applied to the free FI-modules $M(d)$. Recall that a basis for $M(d)_S$ is given by $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{FI}}([d], S)$. Thus we can stratify the basis elements for $(S_{+a}M(d))_S$, namely the injections $f\colon [d] \hookrightarrow S\coprod\{-1,\dots,-a\}$, according to the subset $T = f^{-1}(\{-1,\dots,-a\}) \subset [d]$ and the restriction $f|_T\colon T \hookrightarrow \{-1,\dots,-a\}$. This gives a decomposition

$$S_{+a}M(d) = \bigoplus_{T\subset[d]} M(d-|T|) \otimes_k k[\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{FI}}(T, \{-1,\dots,-a\})].$$

In particular, singling out the factor with $T = \emptyset$, we obtain the following decomposition.

Proposition 2.3. *For any $a \geq 0$ and any $d \geq 0$, there is a natural decomposition*

$$S_{+a}M(d) = M(d) \oplus Q \tag{2}$$

where Q is generated in degree $\leq d-1$.

Although this proposition appears unassuming, this is the key combinatorial fact about the category FI that makes possible our approach to the Noetherian property for FI-modules. For comparison, if FI were replaced by the category of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{F}$–vector spaces and linear injections for some field $\mathbb{F}$, the analogous proposition would not hold (even if the field $\mathbb{F}$ is finite), and so our proof of the Noetherian property does not extend to this case.

The projection

$$\pi_a\colon S_{+a}M(d) \twoheadrightarrow M(d) \tag{3}$$

onto the first factor of (2) has a concrete interpretation: in degree n, a basis for the domain consists of injections $\{1,\dots,d\} \hookrightarrow \{1,\dots,n,-1,\dots,-a\}$, and the projection π_a simply sends to 0 any injection whose image is not contained in $\{1,\dots,n\}$.

This is related to the decomposition of $M(d)_n$ given by splitting up the injections $\{1,\dots,d\} \hookrightarrow \{1,\dots,n\}$ according to their image. Each d-element subset S of $\{1,\dots,n\}$ gives a summand isomorphic to $M(d)_d$, yielding the decomposition as R-modules

$$M(d)_n \simeq M(d)_d^{\oplus\binom{n}{d}}. \tag{4}$$

The restriction of the projection π_a from (3) to degree d yields a map from $(S_{+(n-d)}M(d))_d \simeq M(d)_n$ to $M(d)_d$; this is just the projection of (4) onto a single factor.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We prove by induction on d that if V is a finitely generated FI-module generated in degree $\leq d$, then every sub-FI-module of V is finitely generated. Such an FI-module V admits a surjection from a finite direct sum of FI-modules $\bigoplus_i M(m_i)$ with $m_i \leq d$ [CEF, Proposition 2.16]. Since the Noetherian property descends to quotients and is preserved under direct sum, it suffices to prove the theorem for $V = M(m_i)$, and by induction it suffices to prove it for $V = M(d)$.

So let W be a sub-FI-module of $M(d)$. Since each W_n is a submodule of the finitely generated R-module $M(d)_n$ and R is Noetherian, each W_n is finitely generated as an R-module. Thus it suffices to show that W is generated in finite degree. Since S_{+a} is an exact functor, for each $a \geq 0$ we can consider $S_{+a}W$ as a sub-FI-module of $S_{+a}M(d)$. Let W^a be the sub-FI-module of $M(d)$ which is the image of $S_{+a}W$ under the projection $\pi_a \colon S_{+a}M(d) \twoheadrightarrow M(d)$ from (3). There is a natural map $i_a \colon S_{+a}M(d) \to S_{+(a+1)}M(d)$ induced by the standard inclusion $S \coprod \{-1, \ldots, -a\} \to S \coprod \{-1, \ldots, -(a+1)\}$, and the composition with this map with π_{a+1} is just π_a. Moreover, $i_a(S_{+a}W) \subset S_{+(a+1)}(W)$. It follows that $W^a \subset W^{a+1}$. Let W^∞ denote the FI-module $\bigcup_a W^a \subset M(d)$.

Expanding the definition of W^a, we have the following concrete condition: an element

$$x = \sum_{f \colon [d] \hookrightarrow [n]} r_f f \in M(d)_n$$

lies in W^a if and only if there is an element

$$w = \sum_{g \colon [d] \hookrightarrow [n+a]} r'_g g \in W_{n+a} \subset M(d)_{n+a} \tag{5}$$

such that $r'_g = r_g$ whenever the image of g lies in $[n]$. The element $x \in M(d)_n$ lies in W^∞ if there is *some* $a \geq 0$ and some $w \in W_{n+a}$ for which (5) holds.

We first observe that W^∞ is generated by W^∞_d. More precisely, we show for any $a \geq 0$ and any $n \geq d$ that W^a_n lies in the span of $W^{a'}_d$, where $a' = n - d + a$. Passing to the limit, this shows that W^∞_n is spanned by W^∞_d as desired.

As described in (4), $M(d)_n$ decomposes canonically as a sum over subsets $S \subset [n]$ of cardinality d, with each summand isomorphic to $M(d)_d$. So given $x \in W^a_n \subset M(d)_n$, write $x = \sum_{f \colon [d] \hookrightarrow [n]} r_f f$ as above, and for each such subset S denote by x_S the sum

$$x_S \coloneqq \sum_{\operatorname{im} f = S} r_f f \in M(d)_S.$$

We have $x = \sum_S i_S(x_S)$, where $i_S \colon S \hookrightarrow [n]$ is the natural inclusion, so our goal is to show that x_S lies in $W^{a'}_S \subset M(d)_S$. Since S_n acts transitively on such subsets S, we can assume without loss of generality that $S = \{1, \ldots, d\}$, and our goal is to show that

$$x_{[d]} = \sum_{\operatorname{im} f = [d]} r_f f \in M(d)_d$$

lies in $W_d^{a'}$.

Since $x \in W_n^a$, there exists $w \in W_{n+a}$ so that — writing $w = \sum_{g\colon [d] \hookrightarrow [n+a]} r'_g g$ as in (5) — we have $r'_g = r_g$ for all g with image contained in $[n]$. But then it is *a fortiori* true that $r'_g = r_g$ for all g with $\operatorname{im} g = [d]$; this shows that the element $w \in W_{n+a} = W_{d+a'}$ satisfies the condition (5) to certify that $x_{[d]} \in W_d^{a'}$. It follows that W_n^a is contained in the span of $W_d^{a'}$, and thus that W_n^∞ is spanned by W_d^∞, as desired.

Moreover, the chain

$$W_d = W_d^0 \subset W_d^1 \subset W_d^2 \subset \ldots \subset W_d^\infty$$

is a chain of $R[S_d]$-submodules of $M(d)_d \cong R[S_d]$. Since $R[S_d]$ is a finitely generated R-module and R itself is Noetherian, there must be some N such that $W_d^N = W_d^\infty$. Since W^∞ is generated by $W_d^\infty = W_d^N$ and W^N is a sub-FI-module of W^∞, we conclude that $W^N = W^\infty$ is generated in degree d.

By the remark following Definition 2.1, it suffices to prove that $S_{+N}W$ is finitely generated, so let us consider the structure of $S_{+N}W$. The decomposition $S_{+N}M(d) = M(d) \oplus Q$ from (2) induces an exact sequence

$$0 \to W_Q \to S_{+N}W \to W^N \to 0$$

where $W_Q = Q \cap (S_{+N}W)$ and W^N is (as above) the image of $S_{+N}W$ in $M(d)$. We have just proved that W^N is generated in degree d. But W_Q is a sub-FI-module of Q, which is finitely generated in degree $\leq d-1$, so by induction we know that W_Q is finitely generated. This implies that $S_{+N}W$ is finitely generated, and thus that W is finitely generated, completing the proof. ☐

Dimensions of finitely generated FI-modules. Let V be an FI-module. The *torsion submodule* of V, denoted $T(V)$, consists of those $v \in V_S$ for which $f_*(v) = 0$ for some finite set S' and some (whence every) $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{FI}}(S, S')$. Alternatively, it can be written as

$$T(V) = \bigcup_{a \geq 0} \ker(\textstyle\coprod_{[-a]}\colon V \to S_{+a}V).$$

We say that V is *torsion-free* if $T(V) = 0$. It is clear that $V/T(V)$ is always torsion free. When $k = \mathbb{C}$, the functor T is discussed by Sam and Snowden [SS, §4.4], where it appears as the left exact functor $H^0_{\mathfrak{m}}$ whose derived functors provide a local cohomology theory for FI-modules.

Lemma 2.4. *If V is a finitely generated FI-module over a Noetherian ring, then $T(V) \sim 0$; in other words, $T(V)_n = 0$ for all sufficiently large n.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, $T(V)$ is finitely generated, say by $v_1, \dots, v_j$ with $v_i \in V_{n_i}$. For each i there is an integer M_i such that $f_*(v_i) = 0$ for any $f \in \mathrm{Hom}([n_i], [m'])$ with $m' \geq M_i$. Taking $M \coloneqq \max M_i$, we see that for any $m \geq M$ and any $f \in \mathrm{Hom}([n_i], [m])$ we have $f_*(v_i) = 0$ for all i. Since these elements generate $T(V)$, this implies that $T(V)_m = 0$ for all $m \geq M$, as desired. ☐

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We will prove the stronger statement that if V is finitely generated in degree $\leq d$, then $\dim_k V_n$ is eventually equal to an integer-valued polynomial of degree $\leq d$. The proof is by induction on d. We say that V is generated in degree ≤ -1 if $V = 0$, and a polynomial is of degree ≤ -1 if it vanishes; we may thus take as our base case $d = -1$.

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that the torsion-free quotient $V' = V/T(V)$ has $\dim_k V'_n = \dim_k V_n$ for $n \gg 0$, and it is still generated in degree $\leq d$. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that V is torsion-free. Thus, the natural map $\coprod_{[-1]} \colon V \to S_{+1}V$ is injective. Let DV denote the cokernel of this map. Write $f(n)$ for $\dim_k V_n$. We have

$$\dim DV_n = \dim(S_{+1}V)_n - \dim V_n = f(n+1) - f(n)$$

Our goal is to prove that DV is generated in degree $\leq d-1$; it will then follow by induction that the discrete derivative $f(n+1) - f(n)$ is eventually a polynomial of degree at most $d-1$, which implies that $f(n)$ is eventually a polynomial of degree at most d, which is the statement to be proved.

First, if $V = M(m)$, we have a concrete description of DV from (2), which in particular shows that DV is generated in degree $\leq m-1$. For a general FI-module V generated in degree $\leq d$, [CEF, Proposition 2.16] tells us that V admits a surjection from $M = \bigoplus_i M(m_i)$ with $m_i \leq d$. Since $S_{+1}M$ thus surjects to $S_{+1}V$, we conclude that DM surjects to DV, so the general claim follows from the special case $V = M(m)$ proved above. ☐

Negative shifts. In Section 3, we will need the closely-related "alternating negative shift" functors $\widetilde{S}_{-a}$.

Definition 2.5 (Ordered negative shift functor B_a). Given an FI-module V and an integer $a \geq 1$, we define B_aV to be the FI-module which maps a set S to the direct sum

$$(B_aV)_S = \bigoplus_{f \colon [a] \hookrightarrow S} V_{S - f([a])}. \tag{6}$$

We denote by $(B_aV)_{S,f}$ the summand corresponding to f in the decomposition (6).

Lemma 2.6. *If V is finitely generated, then B_aV is finitely generated for any $a \geq 1$.*

Proof. Assume that V is generated in degree $\leq d$; we will show that B_aV is generated in degree $\leq d+a$. If V is finitely generated then each V_n is finitely generated, so this will prove the lemma.

It suffices to show that for any T with $|T| > d+a$ and any $f\colon [a] \hookrightarrow T$, the summand $(B_aV)_{T,f}$ lies in the span of $(B_aV)_{d+a}$. By composing with an isomorphism, we may assume that T is of the form $U \coprod [a]$ and f is just the inclusion $f\colon [a] \hookrightarrow U \coprod [a]$. The summand $(B_aV)_{T,f}$ is then identified with V_U. We have $|U| > d$, so choose an arbitrary subset $S \subset U$ satisfying $|S| = d$. Our hypothesis on V implies that V_U is spanned by the images of $g_*\colon V_S \to V_U$ over all $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{FI}}(S,U)$. Given such an inclusion $g\colon S \hookrightarrow U$, let g' be its natural extension $g'\colon S \coprod [a] \hookrightarrow U \coprod [a]$. For each $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{FI}}(S,U)$, the map g'_* takes the summand $(B_aV)_{S\coprod[a],f} \simeq V_S$ to the summand $(B_aV)_{U\coprod[a],f} \simeq V_U$ by the map $g_*\colon V_S \to V_U$. This demonstrates that $(B_aV)_{T,f}$ lies in the span of $(B_aV)_{S\coprod[a],f} \subset (B_aV)_{d+a}$, as desired. ☐

Definition 2.7 (Negative shift functor $\widetilde{S}_{-a}$). It is clear from the definition (6) that B_aV carries an action of S_a, by precomposition of f with permutations on $[a]$. Thus we can define

$$\widetilde{S}_{-a}V = B_aV \otimes_{R[S_a]} \varepsilon_a$$

where ε_a is the *sign representation* of S_a; that is, the $R[S_a]$-module which as an R-module is simply R, and on which a permutation σ acts by $(-1)^\sigma$.

The effect is that $(\widetilde{S}_{-a}V)_S$ has one summand V_T for each subset $T \subset S$ with $|T| = |S|-a$, on which permutations of T act as they usually do on V_T, and on which permutations of $S-T$ act by their sign. The surjection $R[S_a] \twoheadrightarrow \varepsilon_a$ induces a surjection $B_aV \twoheadrightarrow \widetilde{S}_{-a}V$, so as a corollary of Lemma 2.6 we obtain the following.

Lemma 2.8. *If V is finitely generated, then $\widetilde{S}_{-a}V$ is finitely generated for any $a \geq 1$.*

We can package all the B_aV together as a single object B_V, as we now explain. We denote by FI^{op} the opposite category to FI. Now let B_V be the functor from $\mathrm{FI}^{op} \times \mathrm{FI}$ to R-modules defined by

$$B_V(U,S) = \bigoplus_{f\colon U \hookrightarrow S} V_{S-f(U)}$$

Then B_aV is the FI-module which sends S to $B_V([a],S)$, and the action of S_a on B_aV comes from $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{FI}^{op}}([a])$.

The functors B_aV fit together into a natural complex of FI-modules

$$B_*V := \qquad \cdots \to B_3V \to B_2V \to B_1V \to V \to 0 \tag{7}$$

with differential $d\colon B_aV \to B_{(a-1)}V$ defined as follows. Let s_i be the order-preserving inclusion from $[a-1]$ to $[a]$ whose image misses i. If we consider s_i as a morphism in FI^{op} from $[a]$

to $[a-1]$, it naturally induces a map of FI-modules $B_V([a],-) \to B_V([a-1],-)$, i.e. a map $d_i \colon B_aV \to B_{a-1}V$. We define the differential d to be the alternating sum $\sum(-1)^i d_i$. The familiar identity $s_i \circ s_j = s_{j+1} \circ s_i$ of inclusions $[a-2] \hookrightarrow [a]$ (for $i \leq j$) implies that $d_i \circ d_j = d_{j+1} \circ d_i$, from which it follows that $d^2 = 0$.

It is straightforward to check that this differential descends from B_aV to the quotient $\widetilde{S}_{-a}V$, so we obtain a natural complex of FI-modules

$$\widetilde{S}_{-*}V := \qquad \cdots \to \widetilde{S}_{-3}V \to \widetilde{S}_{-2}V \to \widetilde{S}_{-1}V \to V \to 0. \tag{8}$$

In a sequel to this paper [CE], we interpret the homology $H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*}V)$ of this complex as the "FI-module homology" of V, and use this to give quantitative bounds on the stable range in Theorems 1.6 and 1.7. In the present paper, however, we will not require any interpretation of the $H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*}V)$ beyond their definition in terms of the complex (8).

It is easy to see that $H_0(\widetilde{S}_{-*}V) = \operatorname{coker}(\widetilde{S}_{-1}V \to V)$ coincides with the FI-module $H_0(V)$ defined in [CEF, Definition 2.18], and so from there we have the following observation.

Lemma 2.9. *The following are equivalent conditions on an FI-module V:*

- *V is generated in finite degree;*
- $\operatorname{coker}(\widetilde{S}_{-1}V \to V)$ *is generated in finite degree;*
- $\operatorname{coker}(\widetilde{S}_{-1}V \to V) \sim 0$.

Note that $H_0(V)$ has the property that the natural map $\coprod_{[-1]} \colon H_0(V) \to S_{+1}H_0(V)$ *vanishes* (in fact, it is the largest quotient of V with this property). In particular, $H_0(V)$ is a torsion FI-module. The main content of the following proposition is that the homology groups $H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*}V)$ enjoy the same property for every a.

Proposition 2.10. *Let V be an FI-module. Then $H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*}V)$ is a torsion FI-module for any $a \geq 0$. If V is a finitely generated FI-module over a Noetherian ring, we have furthermore that $H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*}V) \sim 0$.*

Proof. We begin by proving that $H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*}V)$ is torsion. In fact, as promised above, we will prove the stronger assertion that the map $\coprod_{[-1]} \colon H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*}V) \to S_{+1}H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*}V)$ is zero.

The naturality of $\coprod_{[-1]}$ implies that this map is induced by a map of FI-complexes

$$\coprod_{[-1]} \colon \widetilde{S}_{-*}V \to S_{+1}\widetilde{S}_{-*}V.$$

For clarity, let $F \colon \widetilde{S}_{-*}V \to S_{+1}\widetilde{S}_{-*}V$ denote this map of FI-complexes. We will show that F induces the zero map on homology by exhibiting an explicit chain homotopy from F to 0.

If $f\colon [a] \hookrightarrow S$ is an injection of finite sets, we let $\overline{f}\colon [a+1] \hookrightarrow S \coprod \{-1\}$ be the map defined by

$$\overline{f}(i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = 1 \\ f(i-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We then define $G\colon B_a V \to S_{+1} B_{a+1} V$ to be the map which sends $B_V([a], S, f)$ to $B_V([a+1], S \coprod \{-1\}, \overline{f})$ coming from the natural identification of both of these spaces with $V_{S-f([a])}$. It is easy to check that G descends to the quotient complex (8). We will show that $dG + Gd = -F$. We have

$$dG\colon B_V([a], S, f) \to B_V([a+1], S \coprod \{-1\}, \overline{f}) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{a+1} B_V([a], S \coprod \{-1\}, \overline{f} \circ s_i)$$

and

$$Gd\colon B_V([a], S, f) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{a} B_V([a-1], S, f \circ s_i) \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{a} B_V([a], S \coprod \{-1\}, \overline{f \circ s_i})$$

where the summands labeled by i are twisted by $(-1)^i$ coming from $d = \sum (-1)^i d^i$.

We have the identity $\overline{f \circ s_i} = \overline{f} \circ s_{i+1}$, so in the sum $dG + Gd$ these terms cancel, leaving us with the map

$$dG + Gd\colon B_V([a], S, f) \to B_V([a], S \coprod \{-1\}, \overline{f} \circ s_1)$$

But $\overline{f} \circ s_1\colon [a] \hookrightarrow S \coprod \{-1\}$ is just the composition of f with the natural inclusion of S into $S \coprod \{-1\}$. We conclude that $dG + Gd = (-1)^1 F = -F$. This completes the proof that $H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*} V)$ is torsion.

Now suppose V is a finitely generated FI-module over a Noetherian ring. By Lemma 2.8 we know that $\widetilde{S}_{-a} V$ is finitely generated, so Theorem 1.1 implies that its subquotient $H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*} V)$ is finitely generated as well. But since $H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*} V)$ is torsion, Lemma 2.4 then implies that $H_a(\widetilde{S}_{-*} V) \sim 0$. $\square$

Corollary 2.11. *If V is a finitely generated FI-module over a Noetherian ring, there exists $N \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq N$ we have*

$$V_{[n]} = \frac{\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} V_{[n]-i}}{\operatorname{im} \bigoplus_{i<j} V_{[n]-i-j}}.$$

Here $\operatorname{im} V_{[n]-i-j}$ denotes the image of

$$(\iota^i_*, -\iota^j_*)\colon V_{[n]-i-j} \to V_{[n]-i} \oplus V_{[n]-j},$$

where $\iota^i\colon [n] - i - j \hookrightarrow [n] - i$ is the standard inclusion.

Proof. The corollary asserts the exactness of $(\widetilde{S}_{-2} V)_n \to (\widetilde{S}_{-1} V)_n \to V_n \to 0$ at $(\widetilde{S}_{-1} V)_n$ and at V_n. Proposition 2.10 tells us that this sequence is exact at both places for all but finitely many n. $\square$

Remark 2.12. Corollary 2.11 says that a finitely generated FI-module over a Noetherian ring is *centrally stable* in the sense of Putman [Pu, §1]. We point out that although central stability was originally defined only for consistent sequences of representations over a field, the same definition makes sense over any commutative ring.

3 Homology of congruence subgroups

In this section we prove Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7.

The congruence FI-group $\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})$. Let $M(1) = M(1)_{/R}$ denote the FI-module taking a finite set S to the free R-module with basis $\{e_s | s \in S\}$. Its dual $M(1)^* = \mathrm{Hom}(M(1), M(0))$ is the FI-module taking a finite set S to $\mathrm{Hom}_R(M(1)_S, R)$. We can identify $M(1)$ with $M(1)^*$ as FI-modules by sending e_s to the functional $\lambda_s \colon M(1)_S \to R$ defined by $\lambda_s(e_t) = \delta_{st}$. Their tensor product is the endomorphism FI-algebra $\mathrm{End}\, M(1) = M(1) \otimes M(1)^*$, and the invertible endomorphisms form the FI-group $\mathrm{GL}(M(1))$. In degree n this is the group $\mathrm{GL}(M(1))_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$.

The natural reduction map from $\mathcal{O}_K$ to $\mathbf{F} := \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ induces maps $M(1)_{/\mathcal{O}_K} \to M(1)_{/\mathbf{F}}$ and $\mathrm{End}\, M(1)_{/\mathcal{O}_K} \to \mathrm{End}\, M(1)_{/\mathbf{F}}$. The *congruence FI-group* $\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})$ is defined by the short exact sequence of FI-groups

$$1 \to \Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p}) \to \mathrm{GL}(M(1)_{/\mathcal{O}_K}) \to \mathrm{GL}(M(1)_{/\mathbf{F}})$$

Its homology yields, for each fixed $m \geq 0$, an FI-module $\mathcal{H}_m = H_m(\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p}); R)$.

Just as $\mathrm{GL}(M(1)_{/\mathcal{O}_K})_n \simeq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$, we also have that $\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})_n \approx \Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathrm{GL}(M(1)_{/\mathbf{F}})_n \approx \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbf{F})$. The action of permutation matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}_K)$ on $\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p})$ by conjugation induces an action on $H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p}); R)$, and this agrees with the FI-module structure on $\mathcal{H}_m$. We will deduce the desired theorems from the finite generation of the FI-module $\mathcal{H}_m$.

Proposition 3.1 (Finite generation of $\boldsymbol{H_m(\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p}); R)}$). *Let K be a number field, $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers, and $\mathfrak{p}$ a prime ideal in $\mathcal{O}_K$. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring, and fix $m \geq 0$. Then the FI-module $\mathcal{H}_m = H_m(\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p}); R)$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. We work with a more naive version of the complex used by Putman in [Pu]. Consider $M(1) \times M(1)^*$ as an FI-set (ignoring any additive strucure). Our complex $X_\bullet$ will be an FI-simplicial complex with vertex set contained in $M(1) \times M(1)^*$.

In Example 2.11 of [CEF] we defined an FI-simplicial complex $\Delta^{\bullet-1}$ by setting, for any finite set S, the functor $\Delta^{\bullet-1}(S)$ to be the full simplicial complex with vertex set S. Thus $\Delta^{\bullet-1}(n)$ is the standard $(n-1)$-simplex Δ^{n-1}, its FI-endomorphisms act by the standard action of S_n on Δ^{n-1}, and any injective map $S \hookrightarrow T$ of sets induces a simplicial inclusion $\Delta^{\bullet-1}(S) \to \Delta^{\bullet-1}(T)$.

Let $D_\bullet$ denote the FI-simplex $\Delta^{\bullet-1}$, considered as embedded in $M(1)\times M(1)^*$ as the full simplex on the elements $\{(e_s,\lambda_s)|s\in S\}\subset M(1)_S\times M(1)^*_S$. Let $X_\bullet$ be the FI-simplicial complex consisting of all those simplices lying in the $\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})$-orbit of $D_\bullet$ inside $M(1)\times M(1)^*$. No element of $\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})$ takes any simplex of $D_\bullet$ to a different simplex of $D_\bullet$, as these simplices are distinguished by their reduction in $M(1)_{/\mathbf{F}}\times M(1)^*_{/\mathbf{F}}$, which is preserved by the action of $\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})$. Thus $D_\bullet$ is by definition a fundamental domain for the action of $\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})$ on $X_\bullet$, and we have a canonical identification

$$X_\bullet/\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})\simeq D_\bullet.$$

From such an action we obtain in the usual way (see [Br, Equation VII.7.2]) a spectral sequence converging to the equivariant homology $H_*^{\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})}(X_\bullet)$. Although our complex $X_\bullet$ differs from the complex $\mathcal{SB}_n(\mathcal{O}_K,\mathfrak{p})$ considered by Putman, he notes in [Pu, Lemma 3.2] that $X_\bullet\sim\mathcal{SB}_\bullet(\mathcal{O}_K,\mathfrak{p})$ since $\mathcal{O}_K$ satisfies Bass's stable range condition S_3. In particular, Putman deduces from Charney [Cha, Theorem 3.5] that the complex $\mathcal{SB}_n(\mathcal{O}_K,\mathfrak{p})$ is $(\frac{n}{2}-2)$-acyclic [Pu, Lemma 3.1], so we have $\widetilde{H}_m(X_\bullet)\sim 0$ for all $m\geq 0$. Thus $H_m^{\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})}(X_\bullet)\sim H_m(\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p}))$ (see [Br, Proposition VII.7.3]). In other words, the equivariant homology computed by the spectral sequence is asymptotically identical with the ordinary homology FI-module $\mathcal{H}_m$ that is our object of study here.

Let us consider the E^1 page of this spectral sequence more closely. Since $D_\bullet$ is a fundamental domain for the action, we have (see [Br, Equation VII.7.7]):

$$E^1_{pq}=\bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma\text{ a }p\text{-simplex}\\ \text{of }D_\bullet}} H_q(\mathrm{Stab}_{\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})}(\sigma);R) \tag{9}$$

Each p-simplex σ of D_S is the full simplex on $\{(e_u,\lambda_u)|u\in U\}\subset M(1)_S\times M(1)^*_S$ for some $U\subset S$ satisfying $|U|=p+1$. Let $T=S-U$. The FI-group structure on $\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})$ yields an inclusion $\Gamma_T(\mathfrak{p})\hookrightarrow\Gamma_S(\mathfrak{p})$, and the stabilizer in $\Gamma_S(\mathfrak{p})$ of the simplex σ_U is precisely the subgroup $\Gamma_T(\mathfrak{p})$. This shows that

$$(E^1_{pq})_S=\bigoplus_{\substack{T\subset S\\ |T|=|S|-p-1}} H_q(\Gamma_T(\mathfrak{p});R).$$

Since a permutation of $U=S-T$ acts on the orientation of the p-simplex σ_U according to its sign, comparing with (6) of Definition 2.7, we see that we can identify E^1_{pq} with $\widetilde{S}_{-p-1}(\mathcal{H}_q)$; more than this, we can identify the qth row $(E^1_{*,q},d^1)$ with the complex $\widetilde{S}_{-*-1}(\mathcal{H}_q)$ from (8) (excluding the last term $\mathcal{H}_q$).

Note in particular that we have $E^1_{0,m}=\widetilde{S}_{-1}(\mathcal{H}_m)$, and the natural map $E^1_{0,m}\to H_m^{\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})}(X_\bullet)$ factors as

$$\widetilde{S}_{-1}(\mathcal{H}_m)=E^1_{0,m}\twoheadrightarrow E^\infty_{0,m}\hookrightarrow H_m^{\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})}(X_\bullet)\sim\mathcal{H}_m. \tag{10}$$

The composition of these maps is just the boundary map $\widetilde{S}_{-1}(\mathcal{H}_m)\to\mathcal{H}_m$ appearing in (8).

We now prove by induction on m that $\mathcal{H}_m$ is a finitely generated FI-module. The existence of the Borel-Serre compactification [BS] implies that $H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p}); R)$ is a finitely-generated R-module for all $m \geq 0$ and all $n \geq 0$. Thus to prove $\mathcal{H}_m$ is finitely generated, it suffices to prove it is generated in finite degree. For the base case, we have $\mathcal{H}_0 = M(0)$, which is generated by a single element in degree 0.

Suppose we know that $\mathcal{H}_q$ is finitely generated for all $q < m$. The cokernel of the map $E^{\infty}_{0,m} \to H^{\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})}_m(X_\bullet)$ has a filtration whose graded quotients are isomorphic to $E^{\infty}_{p,m-p}$ for $1 \leq p \leq m$. Since $E^2_{pq} = H_p(\widetilde{S}_{-*}\mathcal{H}_q)$ and R is Noetherian, Proposition 2.10 tells us that $E^2_{pq} \sim 0$ for all $p \geq 0$ and all $q < m$. Since E^{∞}_{pq} is a subquotient of E^2_{pq}, it follows that $E^{\infty}_{pq} \sim 0$ for all $p \geq 0$ and all $q < m$. This shows that $\operatorname{coker}(E^{\infty}_{0,m} \to H^{\Gamma_\bullet(\mathfrak{p})}_m(X_\bullet))$ vanishes in all sufficiently large degrees. Via (10), this means that $\operatorname{coker}(\widetilde{S}_{-1}(\mathcal{H}_m) \to \mathcal{H}_m) \sim 0$. Now Lemma 2.9 shows that $\mathcal{H}_m$ is finitely generated. $\square$

Theorem 1.6 follows by applying Theorem 1.2 to the finitely generated FI-module $\mathcal{H}_m$. Theorem 1.7 follows from Corollary 2.11.

Remark 3.2. The homology groups $H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p}); \mathbb{Z})$ do not merely carry an action of S_n, but of the larger linear group $\mathrm{SL}^{\pm}_n(\mathbf{F})$ of all matrices of determinant ± 1, in which S_n is contained as a subgroup. (This uses the nontrivial result that the reduction $\mathrm{SL}^{\pm}_n \mathcal{O}_K \to \mathrm{SL}^{\pm}_n \mathbf{F}$ is actually surjective.) In keeping with the philosophy of [CF, §8] one might ask whether the groups $H_m(\Gamma_n(\mathfrak{p}); \mathbb{Z})$ obey an appropriate notion of "representation stability" with respect to the action of this family of groups.

4 Configuration spaces and coinvariant algebras

Configuration spaces and the proof of Theorem 1.8. Fix a manifold M. For any finite set S, let $\mathrm{Conf}_S(M)$ denote the space $\mathrm{Emb}(S, M)$ of embeddings of S into M. Any inclusion $S \hookrightarrow T$ induces a natural restriction map $\mathrm{Conf}_T(M) \to \mathrm{Conf}_S(M)$. We may thus regard $\mathrm{Conf}(M)$ as a *co-FI-space*, meaning a contravariant functor from FI to the category of topological spaces. Thus for any $m \geq 0$ and any coefficient ring R, the cohomology groups $H^m(\mathrm{Conf}(M); R)$ form an FI-module over R. We will deduce the desired theorem from the finite generation of the FI-module $H^m(\mathrm{Conf}(M); R)$.

Proposition 4.1 ($H^m(\mathrm{Conf}(M); R)$ is finitely generated). *Let R be a Noetherian ring, and let M be a connected, oriented manifold of dimension ≥ 2 with $H^*(M; R)$ finitely generated (e.g. M compact). Then for any $m \geq 0$, the FI-module $H^m(\mathrm{Conf}(M); R)$ is finitely generated.*

The case $R = \mathbb{Q}$ was previously proved in [CEF, Theorem 4.1], as was the case where M has nontrivial boundary [CEF, Theorem 4.8].

Proof. Let $M^\bullet$ be the co-FI-space defined by $M^S = \mathrm{Map}(S, M)$. There is a natural inclusion of $\mathrm{Conf}(M)$ into $M^\bullet$ as co-FI-spaces. We consider the resulting Leray spectral sequence, which is a spectral sequence of FI-modules over R converging to $H^*(\mathrm{Conf}(M); R)$. Our first goal is to verify that $E_2^{p,q}$ is finitely generated as an FI-module for each $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$. This argument is essentially contained in the proof of [CEF, Theorem 4.1], but we repeat it here because we were previously forced to assume $R = \mathbb{Q}$ to apply the Noetherian property. Using Theorem 1.1, we can now extend this argument to cohomology with coefficients in any Noetherian rings R.

Totaro describes the E_2 page of this spectral sequence [To, Theorem 1], and in particular he shows that $E_2^{*,*}$ is generated by the subalgebras $E_2^{*,0}$ and $E_2^{0,*}$ (see the proof of [CEF, Theorem 4.1] for more details). The former is isomorphic to $H^*(M^\bullet; R)$, and we proved in [CEF, Proposition 2.72] that $H^m(M^\bullet; R)$ is finitely generated for each $m \geq 0$. Totaro proves that the latter is generated by $E_2^{0,d-1}$, which is generated in degree 2 (by the element "G_{12}", in Totaro's notation). Since this is a first-quadrant spectral sequence, only finitely many terms along each axis can multiply to any given entry. Each entry $E_2^{p,q}$ is thus the quotient of a finite direct sum of finite tensor products of finitely generated FI-modules. By the basic proposition [CEF, Proposition 2.61], such a finite tensor product is itself finitely generated. It follows that $E_2^{p,q}$ is finitely generated as well.

Since $E_\infty^{p,q}$ is a subquotient of $E_2^{p,q}$, Theorem 1.1 implies that $E_\infty^{p,q}$ is finitely generated for each $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$. The cohomology FI-module $H^m(\mathrm{Conf}(M); R)$ has a finite-length filtration whose graded quotients are of this form, so by [CEF, Proposition 2.17] the FI-module $H^m(\mathrm{Conf}(M); R)$ is itself finitely generated, as desired. ☐

Theorem 1.8 now follows by applying Theorem 1.2.

Coinvariant algebras and the proof of Theorem 1.9. Fix any commutative Noetherian ring R, and fix an integer $r \geq 1$. We first recall some material from [CEF, §3.2]. If S is a finite set, write $R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}(S)]$ for the free commutative R-algebra on generators indexed by $[r] \times S$. This algebra naturally has a $\mathbb{Z}^r_{\geq 0}$-valued grading, where the ith component records the total degree in the generators $x_{(i,s)}$. The algebra $R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}(S)]$ evidently carries an action of $\mathrm{Sym}(S)$, which preserves the grading. We let I_S be the ideal of $\mathrm{Sym}(S)$-invariant polynomials with zero constant term, and let $R^{(r)}(S)$ be the quotient of $R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}(S)]$ by I_S. Since I_S is a homogeneous ideal, the grading on $R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}(S)]$ descends to a $\mathbb{Z}^r_{\geq 0}$-valued grading on $R^{(r)}(S)$. As in the introduction, we write $R^{(r)}(n)$ for $R^{(r)}(\{1, \ldots, n\})$.

An injection $f\colon S \hookrightarrow T$ induces a ring homomorphism $f^*\colon R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}(T)] \to R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}(S)]$ defined by:

$$f^*(x_{(i,t)}) = \begin{cases} x_{(i,s)} & \text{if } f(s) = t \\ 0 & \text{if } t \notin \operatorname{im} f \end{cases}$$

In other words, $R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}]$ can be thought of as a contravariant functor from FI to R-algebras; in the language of [CEF], it is a co-FI-algebra. One checks easily that the ring homomorphism above sends I_T to I_S, which is to say that the I_S form a co-FI-ideal, and thus that $R^{(r)}$ is a co-FI-algebra. For any $J \in \mathbb{Z}^r_{\geq 0}$, we denote by $R^{(r)}_J$ the J-graded piece of $R^{(r)}$. Since $R^{(r)}_J$ is a co-FI-module, its dual $(R^{(r)}_J)^* := \mathrm{Hom}(R^{(r)}_J, M(0))$ is an FI-module. We will deduce the desired theorem from the finite generation of the FI-module $(R^{(r)}_J)^*$.

Proposition 4.2. *$(R^{(r)}_J)^*$ is a finitely generated FI-module.*

Proof. Since $(R^{(r)})_J$ is a quotient of $R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}]_J$, we know that $(R^{(r)}_J)^*$ is a sub-FI-module of $(R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}]_J)^*$. The piece of $R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}]$ in multi-grading $J = (j_1, \dots, j_r)$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Sym}^{j_1} M(1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^{j_r} M(1)$. This is a quotient of $M(1)^{\otimes |J|}$, which is finitely generated by [CEF, Proposition 2.61], so $R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}]_J$ is finitely generated as well. We can think of $R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}]$ as $R[M(1)^{\oplus r}]$; that is, it is the free commutative FI-algebra generated by the FI-module $M(1)^{\oplus r}$. As we remarked prior to the proof of Proposition 3.1, $M(1)$ and $M(1)^*$ are isomorphic as FI-modules. Thus, $R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}]^*_J$ is isomorphic to $R[\mathbf{X}^{(r)}]_J$, and is thus finitely generated. Now ${R^{(r)}_J}^*$ is a sub-FI-module of a finitely generated FI-module, so Theorem 1.1 implies that ${R^{(r)}_J}^*$ is finitely generated. ☐

Theorem 1.9 follows immediately from Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 1.2.

References

[Bi] J. Birman, *Braids, Links and Mapping Class Groups*, Annals of Math. Studies 82, Princeton Univ. Press, 1974.

[BS] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre, Corners and arithmetic groups, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 48 (1973), 436–491.

[Br] K. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, corrected reprint of the 1982 original, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 87, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.

[Cha] R. Charney, On the problem of homology stability for congruence subgroups, *Comm. Algebra* 12 (1984), no. 17-18, 2081–2123.

[CE] T. Church and J. S. Ellenberg, Homology of FI-modules and stability, in preparation.

[CEF] T. Church, J. S. Ellenberg and B. Farb, FI-modules: a new approach to stability for S_n-representations, arXiv:1204.4533, April 2012.

[CF] T. Church and B. Farb, Representation theory and homological stability, arXiv:1008.1368v2, revised October 2011.

[JR] R. Jimenez Rolland, On the cohomology of moduli spaces of curves and pure mapping class groups, arXiv:1207.6828v1, July 2012.

[L] W. Lück, *Transformation Groups and Algebraic K-Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1408, Mathematica Gottingensis, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[Pu] A. Putman, Stability in the homology of congruence subgroups, arXiv:1201.4876v4, revised August 2012.

[SS] S. Sam and A. Snowden, GL-equivariant modules over polynomial rings in infinitely many variables, arXiv:1206.2233v1, June 2012.

[To] B. Totaro, Configuration spaces of algebraic varieties, *Topology* 35 (1996), no. 4, 1057–1067.

Dept. of Mathematics
Stanford University
450 Serra Mall
Stanford, CA 94305
E-mail: church@math.stanford.edu

Dept. of Mathematics
University of Wisconsin
480 Lincoln Drive
Madison, WI 53706
E-mail: ellenber@math.wisc.edu, nagpal@math.wisc.edu

Dept. of Mathematics
University of Chicago
5734 University Ave.
Chicago, IL 60637
E-mail: farb@math.uchicago.edu